

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/091,256	03/05/2002	Carl Triplett	29930.8000	6817
48236 7.	590 04/13/2005		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER, LLP			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
ONE ARIZON 400 E. VAN B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIZ, AZ 85004-2202			3752	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/091,256	TRIPLETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· <u>-</u> ·
	Christopher S. Kim	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.	ication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01.</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8-13,23 and 24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8-13,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1: Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) c)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 1, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lamb (3,206,178).

Lamb discloses an emanator comprising: a first material 12 and a second material 11 adjacent to the first material 12. The emanator is configured such that a liquid travels through the emanator at a rate no less than a rate at which it would travel through the first material 12 alone and no less than a rate at which it would travel through the second material 11. The rate at which liquid travels through the emenator is equal to the rate at which the liquid would travel through the first material 12 alone, and the rate at which liquid travels through the emenator is greater than the rate at which the liquid would travel through the second material 11; because the first material 12 is porous while the second layer 11 is nonporous.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,256 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

4. Claims 1-3, 9, 10, 23, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by over Harkenrider et al. (2,943,378).

Harkenrider discloses an emanator comprising a first material 26 and second material 28.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 4-6 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harkenrider et al. (2,943,378) in view of Denen et al. (6,296,196).

Harkenrider discloses an emanator comprising a first material 26 and second material 28. Harkenrider does not disclose nylon and polypropylene. Denen discloses nylon and polypropylene in column 6, line 16. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used nylon and polypropylene in the device of Harkenrider as taught by Denen for "good capillary action" (Denen, column 6, line 9).

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Harkenrider does not disclose a the second material being a different material than the first material. Harkenrider discloses a first material 26 being a different material than second material 28.

7. Remainder of applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-13, 23 and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3752

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752